### **Historic, Archive Document**

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.





## Research Note

JAN 30 DEMAN

# NORTHERN ROCKY MOUNTAIN FOREST AND RANGE EXPERIMENT STATION

Missoula, Montana

No. 112

October 1952

#### ✓ POLE PRODUCTION IN 1951 1/1/

H. W. Camp, Jr.
Division of Forest Economics

The production of poles in the Northern Rocky Mountain Region 2/ increased moderately during 1951. About 465,000 poles, 19 percent more than in 1950, were produced in this area (table 1). All of the increase occurred in Montana and North Idaho with increases of 46 and 7 percent, respectively. Production in Northeast Washington fell nearly 9 percent below that of 1950. The number of poles produced in 1951 was still far short of that harvested during the peak year of 1947 (table 2).

Although the quantity of western redcedar poles decreased by 11 percent, this species still continued to be the leader, making up 41 percent of the total number of poles produced. Lodgepole pine continued in second place with nearly 30 percent, while western larch and Douglas-fir followed with 27 and 2 percent of the total production.

Table 1. Number of poles produced in 1951

Species	Montana	thern Rocky : North : Idaho	y Mountain Re :Northeast : :Washington:	Total	Percent of total
		Number	of pieces		Percent
Western redcedar Lodgepole pine Western larch Douglas-fir	21,013 134,489 54,789 	134,040 41 56,222 3,038	37,218 2,098 15,321 1,181	192,271 136,628 126,332 10,116	41.3 29.4 27.1 2.2
Total	216,188	193,341	55,818	465,347	
Percent	46.5	41.5	12.0	100.0	100.0

- 1/ The Rocky Mountain Pole and Treating Association sponsored the survey of pole production for 1951. All of the pole-producing companies reported their production. The cooperation of these companies in supplying the data is greatly appreciated.
- 2/ The Northern Rocky Mountain Region includes Montana, Idaho north of the Salmon River, and Ferry, Lincoln, Pend Oreille, Spokane, Stevens, and Whitman Counties in Northeast Washington.

Table 2. Percent of change in 1951 from 1950 and 1947

Species	•	1950	1947
Western redcedar Lodgepole pine Western larch Douglas-fir		-11.4 +48.0 +76.3 +11.5	-16.7 -61.1 -43.1 +56.3
Total		+19.3	-42.6

A quick review of table 3 will reveal that, with the exception of Douglasfir, there has been no consistent upward or downward trend in production during the past five years. The number of poles produced seems to vary directly with the current demand for this product.

Table 3. Comparison of pole production by species, 1947-1951

Species	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951			
Western redcedar Lodgepole pine Western larch Douglas-fir	230,872 351,310 221,990 6,473	212,785 138,099 90,879 	286,116 186,262 121,214 	217,049 92,338 71,651 9,070	192,271 136,628 126,332 10,116			
Total	810,645	447,182	599,312	390,108	465,347			

No marked change occurred in distribution of poles by either lengths or A.S.A. classes. In 1951, 77 percent of the poles were in lengths 40 feet and shorter as compared to 81 percent in 1950. A.S.A. classes 4 through 7 continued to be the most popular, remaining at practically the same level of production as in 1950. Table 4 gives a complete picture of the distribution of poles by A.S.A. class and length.

#### Northern Rocky Mountain Region

Northern Rocky Mountain Region											
Pole :						.A. Cla	ss				
length:	1 :	2 :	3 :	4 :	5 :	6 :	7:	8 :	9 :	10 :	All
<u>Feet</u> <u>Percent of total</u>											
25 & shorter 30 35 40 45 50 55& longer All	0.11 0.13 0.26 0.38 0.44 0.48 1.86 3.66	0.22 0.34 0.62 0.91 0.96 0.99 3.15 7.19	0.36 0.61 1.34 2.26 2.15 1.86 3.44 12.02	0.41 1.37 3.80 4.71 3.61 2.57 2.21 18.68	Weste 1.06 3.55 8.47 5.70 2.57 0.58 0.04 21.97	rn redo 1.73 5.12 7.71 2.44 0.15 0 0 17.15	1.83 5.86 5.47 0.23 0 0 0 13.39	1.22 1.63 0.35 0 0 0 0 0	1.97 0.31 0 0 0 0 0	0.46 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9.37 18.92 28.02 16.63 9.88 6.48 10.70 100.00
25 & Lodgepole pine											
shorter 30 35 40 45 50 55 & longer	0.02 0.04 0.05 0.05 0.04 0.04 0.07	0.04 0.05 0.17 0.19 0.22 0.17 0.23	0.07 0.13 0.87 0.98 1.51 0.65 0.60	0.13 0.85 3.15 3.09 3.07 0.48 0.21	0.89 2.66 9.82 5.90 1.58 0.02	1.13 8.63 17.68 3.56 0.01 0.03	1.77 15.18 12.55 0.01 0	0.13 0.35 0.29 0 0	0.58 0 0 0 0 0	0.06 0 0 0 0	4.82- 27.89 44.58 13.78 6.43 1.39
All	0.31	1.07	4.81	10.98	20.87	31.04	29.51	0.77	0.58	0.06	100.00
25 & shorter 30 35 40 45 50 55& longer	0.02 0.04 0.07 0.13 0.20 0.24 1.46	0.04 0.11 0.29 0.76 1.42 1.26 2.85	0.03 0.25 1.15 2.23 3.67 1.52 1.75	0.17 2.06 5.68 7.93 4.93 1.03 0.78	Weste 0.34 4.21 10.39 6.97 2.56 0.22 0.07	n larc 0.80 6.66 8.27 2.25 0.36 0	2.31 5.11 4.67 0.03 0	0.11 0.14 0.01 0 0	1.33 0.27 0.01 0 0	0.84 0 0 0 0 0	5.99 18.85 30.54 20.30 13.14 4.27 6.91
All	2.16	6.73	10.60	22.58	24.76	18.34	12.12	0.26	1.61	0.84	100.00
25 & shorter 30 35 40 45 50 55 & longer All	0.11 0.17 0.26 0.44 0.47 3.16	0 0.32 0.69 1.07 1.31 1.38 5.83	0.04 0.54 2.43 2.69 2.96 2.81 9.14 20.61	0.03 1.54 8.54 8.65 4.56 1.37 0.98	Dougle 0.04 4.15 13.29 9.93 1.41 0.02	0.05 2.67 4.41 0.58 0.02 0	0.01 0.70 1.19 - 0 0 0	0.01 - 0.03 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0.18 10.03 30.75 23.18 10.70 6.05 19.11
All 4.61 10.60 20.61 25.67 28.84 7.73 1.90 0.04 0 0 100.00 25 & All species									100.00		
shorter 30 35 40 45 50 55 & longer All Dash indica	0.06 0.09 0.16 0.24 0.30 0.32 1.44 2.61 tes les	0.12 0.22 0.44 0.72 0.92 0.89 2.60 5.91	0.19 0.41 1.26 1.99 2.41 1.57 2.78 10.61	0.26 1.42 4.40 5.35 3.86 1.66 1.34 18.29	0.79 3.54 9.54 6.32 2.26 0.33 0.04 22.82	1.26 6.11 9.90 2.53 0.16 0.01 0	1.81 7.47 6.62 0.12 0 0 0 0 16.02	0.63 0.88 0.24 0 0 0 0	1.38 0.21 - 0 0 0 0 0	0.43 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6.93 20.35 32.56 17.27 9.91 4.78 8.20 100.00

